

Norway

Statistics Norway, SSB

Election Survey among Foreign Citizens, 1999

Study Documentation

October 24, 2018

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD)
Production Date	October 5, 2009
Version	
Identification	NSD0818

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Sampling	5
Data Collection	5
Accessibility	5
Rights & Disclaimer	5
Files Description	6
NSD0818	6
Variables Group(s)	7
Alle variabler	7
Bakgrunnsvariabler	9
Politisk tilhørighet	9
Stemmegiving	9
Kunnskap om stemmerett	10
Øvrig politisk deltakelse	10
Vekter	11
Variables Description	12
NSD0818	13

Election Survey among Foreign Citizens, 1999

Overview	
Type	Norwegian Election Studies
Identification	NSD0818
Version	Production Date: 2009-06-05 <u>Notes</u> Original data from Statistics Norway are documented and prepared, first NSD-version.
Series	After the elections in 1983, 1987, 1991, 1995 and 1999, Statistics Norway have conducted surveys among foreign citizens that has been eligible to vote. These surveys have been motivated by various reforms of the election arrangements in 1975, 1979 and 1999, which have all given foreign citizens an increasing degree of voting rights.
<p><u>Abstract</u></p> <p>After the elections in 1983, 1987, 1991, 1995 and 1999, Statistics Norway have conducted surveys among foreign citizens that were eligible to vote. These surveys have been motivated by various reforms of the election arrangements in 1975, 1979 and 1999, which have all given foreign citizens an increasing degree of voting rights.</p> <p>In 1999, the Norwegian Directorate of Immigration (UDI) gave financial support to information campaigns that were managed by several country-wide organisations and a few immigration-driven radio channels. The objective was to increase election participation and interest in and knowledge about local political questions.</p> <p>The survey in 1999, which this data set is derived from, aimed to examine immigrants actual participation in the election, causes for lack of participation and effect of the information campaigns the government had carried out.</p> <p>For access to the dataset, use the NSD application form:</p> <p>http://www.nsd.uib.no/nsd/english/orderform.html</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Politics, Elections, Voting, Minority groups, Immigrants
Topics	Elections, Minorities
<u>Time Period(s)</u>	1999
<u>Countries</u>	Norway
<p><u>Geographic Coverage</u></p> <p>Country</p>	
<p><u>Universe</u></p> <p>Foreign citizens that are eligible to vote.</p>	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Statistics Norway, SSB
Other Producer(s)	Statistics Norway (SSB)

Funding Agency/ies	Norwegian Ministry of Local Government and Regional Development (KRD)
---------------------------	---

Sampling	
Sampling Procedure	
<p>From the population of foreign citizens that were eligible to vote, 101 706, there was originally drawn a stratified random sample of 7499 personer, amounting to 7 percent of the population.</p> <p>The sampling was based on a situation file produced by Statistics Norway that was updated July 1999. Correction for persons that were dead or had emigrated was conducted the 1st of November 1999. After these corrections, the sample was reduced to 7016.</p> <p>Gross sample: 7016 persons Net sample: 2910 persons Response rate: 41,5 %</p>	

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 1999-09-13 end 1999-12-01
Time Period(s)	start 1999 end 1999
Data Collection Mode	Paper/pencil questionnaire
Data Collector(s)	Statistics Norway, Oslo (SSB)

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Norwegian Social Science Data Services
Access Conditions	
<p>Application for access to the data has to include name, institutional affiliation, project title, information about sources of financing, postal address. A declaration of secrecy has to be signed before delivery of data.</p>	
Citation Requirements	
<p>Users are obliged to refer to producer and distributor of the data by writing the following in forewords or footnotes in eventual publications:</p> <p>"(Some of) the data applied in the analysis in this publication are based on "Election Survey among Foreign Citizens, 1999". The survey was financed by the Norwegian Ministry of Local Government and Regional Development. The data are provided by Statistics Norway, and prepared and made available by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD). Neither Statistics Norway, Norwegian Ministry of Local Government and Regional Development nor NSD are responsible for the analysis/interpretation of the data presented here.</p>	

Rights & Disclaimer	
Disclaimer	
<p>Neither Statistics Norway, Norwegian Ministry of Local Government and Regional Development nor NSD are responsible for the analysis/interpretation of the data presented here.</p>	
Copyright	Copyright (C) 2009 Statistics Norway

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

NSD0818	
# Cases	2910
# Variable(s)	43

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 7 group(s)

Group Alle variabler							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v01	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	2885	25	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?
2	v02	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1549	1361	Which party did you vote for?
3	v03	Please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	100	2810	Please specify
4	v04	Most important reason for voting for this party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1566	1344	What was the most important reason for voting for this party?
5	v05	Were you aware that you were entitled to vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2375	535	Were you aware of the fact that you were entitled to vote?
6	v06	The differences between the parties are too small	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	1724	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... A. The differences between the parties are too small
7	v07	There are no important local issues that I am very interested in	discrete	numeric-1.0	1109	1801	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... B. There are no important local / municipal issues that I am very interested in
8	v08	I do not know enough about the various parties' policies	discrete	numeric-1.0	1243	1667	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... C. I do not know enough about the various parties' policies
9	v09	I have no confidence in local/ municipal politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	1128	1782	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... D. I have no confidence in local/ municipal politicians
10	v10	I did not have the time or opportunity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1133	1777	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... E. I did not have the time or opportunity
11	v11	Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	597	2313	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... A. Television
12	v12	Other Norwegian media	discrete	numeric-1.0	713	2197	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... B. Other Norwegian media (newspapers, radio)
13	v13	Immigrant media	discrete	numeric-1.0	211	2699	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... C. Immigrant media (magazines, newspapers, local radio)
14	v14	Family, friends or acquaintances	discrete	numeric-1.0	962	1948	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... D. Family, friends or acquaintances
15	v15	Immigrant organization(s)	discrete	numeric-1.0	102	2808	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... E. Immigrant organization(s)
16	v16	Directorate of immigration's election materials	discrete	numeric-1.0	298	2612	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... F. Directorate of immigration's election materials

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
17	v17	The parties' election pamphlets	discrete	numeric-1.0	299	2611	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... G. The parties' election pamphlets
18	v18	Government agency	discrete	numeric-1.0	302	2608	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... H. Government agency (immigration office, refugee officer, local health clinic, library e.g.)
19	v19	Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	526	2384	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... I. Other
20	v20	Please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	662	2248	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... J. Please specify
21	v21	Signing a petition, signature campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2536	374	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... A. Signing a petition, signature campaign?
22	v22	Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2410	500	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... B. Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?
23	v23	Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2368	542	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... C. Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?
24	v24	Contacting municipal or county administration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2388	522	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... D. Contacting municipal or county administration?
25	v25	Contacting a local/municipal politician?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2415	495	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... E. Contacting a local/municipal politician?
26	v26	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Gender
27	v27	Year of immigration	continuous	numeric-2.0	2910	0	Year of immigration
28	v28	County of residence	discrete	numeric-2.0	2910	0	County of residence
29	v29	Person code	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Person code
30	v30	Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Marital Status
31	v31	Highest education as of 01.10.1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	2861	49	Highest education as of 01.10.1998
32	v32	Age as of 31.12.99	continuous	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Age as of 31.12.99
33	v33	By age group	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	By age group
34	v34	Census data	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Census data
35	v35	County voted in	discrete	numeric-2.0	1500	1410	County voted in
36	v36	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Citizenship
37	v37	Stratum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Stratum
38	v38	Stratum code, used in connection with weighting	discrete	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Stratum code, used in connection with weighting
39	v39	Weight for variable S code p † net	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weight for variable S code p † net

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
40	v40	Weight for variable S code p † net	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weight for variable S code p † net
41	v41	Weighting by voted / not voted	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weighting by voted / not voted
42	v42	Weighting by voted / not voted	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weighting by voted / not voted
43	v43	Collected weight	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Collected weight

Group Bakgrunnsvariabler

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v26	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Gender
2	v27	Year of immigration	continuous	numeric-2.0	2910	0	Year of immigration
3	v28	County of residence	discrete	numeric-2.0	2910	0	County of residence
4	v29	Person code	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Person code
5	v30	Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Marital Status
6	v31	Highest education as of 01.10.1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	2861	49	Highest education as of 01.10.1998
7	v32	Age as of 31.12.99	continuous	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Age as of 31.12.99
8	v33	By age group	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	By age group
9	v34	Census data	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Census data
10	v35	County voted in	discrete	numeric-2.0	1500	1410	County voted in
11	v36	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Citizenship
12	v37	Stratum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	0	Stratum
13	v38	Stratum code, used in connection with weighting	discrete	numeric-3.0	2910	0	Stratum code, used in connection with weighting

Group Politisk tilhørighet

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v02	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1549	1361	Which party did you vote for?
2	v03	Please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	100	2810	Please specify
3	v04	Most important reason for voting for this party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1566	1344	What was the most important reason for voting for this party?

Group Stemmegiving

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v01	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	2885	25	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?
2	v06	The differences between the parties are too small	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	1724	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... A. The differences between the parties are too small

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	v07	There are no important local issues that I am very interested in	discrete	numeric-1.0	1109	1801	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... B. There are no important local / municipal issues that I am very interested in
4	v08	I do not know enough about the various parties' policies	discrete	numeric-1.0	1243	1667	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... C. I do not know enough about the various parties' policies
5	v09	I have no confidence in local/municipal politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	1128	1782	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... D. I have no confidence in local/municipal politicians
6	v10	I did not have the time or opportunity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1133	1777	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... E. I did not have the time or opportunity

Group Kunnskap om stemmerett

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v05	Were you aware that you were entitled to vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2375	535	Were you aware of the fact that you were entitled to vote?
2	v11	Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	597	2313	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... A. Television
3	v12	Other Norwegian media	discrete	numeric-1.0	713	2197	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... B. Other Norwegian media (newspapers, radio)
4	v13	Immigrant media	discrete	numeric-1.0	211	2699	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... C. Immigrant media (magazines, newspapers, local radio)
5	v14	Family, friends or acquaintances	discrete	numeric-1.0	962	1948	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... D. Family, friends or acquaintances
6	v15	Immigrant organization(s)	discrete	numeric-1.0	102	2808	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... E. Immigrant organization(s)
7	v16	Directorate of immigration's election materials	discrete	numeric-1.0	298	2612	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... F. Directorate of immigration's election materials
8	v17	The parties' election pamphlets	discrete	numeric-1.0	299	2611	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... G. The parties' election pamphlets
9	v18	Government agency	discrete	numeric-1.0	302	2608	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... H. Government agency (immigration office, refugee officer, local health clinic, library e.g.)
10	v19	Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	526	2384	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... I. Other
11	v20	Please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	662	2248	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... J. Please specify

Group Øvrig politisk deltakelse

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v21	Signing a petition, signature campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2536	374	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... A. Signing a petition, signature campaign?
2	v22	Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2410	500	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... B. Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?
3	v23	Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2368	542	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... C. Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?
4	v24	Contacting municipal or county administration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2388	522	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... D. Contacting municipal or county administration?
5	v25	Contacting a local/municipal politician?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2415	495	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... E. Contacting a local/municipal politician?

Group Vektor

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v39	Weight for variable S code p † net	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weight for variable S code p † net
2	v40	Weight for variable S code p † net	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weight for variable S code p † net
3	v41	Weighting by voted / not voted	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weighting by voted / not voted
4	v42	Weighting by voted / not voted	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Weighting by voted / not voted
5	v43	Collected weight	continuous	numeric-5.3	2910	0	Collected weight

Variables Description

Dataset contains 43 variable(s)

File : NSD0818**# v01: Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2885 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]
Literal question	Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?
Post-question	If "No" -> Go to question 4
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1585	54.9%
2	No	1300	45.1%
Sysmiss		25	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v02: Did you vote in the municipal elections in September 1999?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1549 /-] [Invalid=1361 /-]
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Labor Party (Arbeiderpartiet)	562	36.3%
2	Progress Party (Fremskrittspartiet)	100	6.5%
3	Conservative Party (Høyre)	300	19.4%
4	Christian Democratic Party (Kristelig folkeparti)	117	7.6%
5	Red Electoral Alliance (Rød valgallianse)	68	4.4%
6	Centre Party (Senterpartiet)	38	2.5%
7	Socialist Left Party (Sosialistisk venstreparti)	220	14.2%
8	Liberal Party (Venstre)	58	3.7%
9	Other. Please specify	48	3.1%
10	Crossed multiple answers	38	2.5%
Sysmiss		1361	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v03: Please specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=100 /-] [Invalid=2810 /-]
Pre-question	If question 2 -> "Other"
Literal question	Please specify
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has specified	100	100.0%
Sysmiss		2810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v04: Most important reason for voting for this party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1566 /-] [Invalid=1344 /-]

File : NSD0818**# v04: Most important reason for voting for this party?**

Literal question	What was the most important reason for voting for this party?
-------------------------	---

Post-question	If answered -> Go to question 6
----------------------	---------------------------------

Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political parties
-----------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Local, municipal issues	366	23.4%
2	Persons on the voting list	156	10.0%
3	National issues	205	13.1%
4	General confidence in the party	515	32.9%
5	Other reasons	147	9.4%
9	Crossed multiple answers	177	11.3%
Sysmiss		1344	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v05: Were you aware that you were entitled to vote?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2375 /-] [Invalid=535 /-]
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Literal question	Were you aware of the fact that you were entitled to vote?
-------------------------	--

Post-question	If "No" -> Go to question 7
----------------------	-----------------------------

Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Right to vote and be elected
-----------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2083	87.7%
2	No	292	12.3%
Sysmiss		535	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v06: The differences between the parties are too small

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=1724 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pre-question	There might be many reasons for not voting in this election.
---------------------	--

Literal question	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not?
-------------------------	--

... A. The differences between the parties are too small

Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants
-----------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	252	21.2%
2	Fairly important	417	35.2%
3	Less important	288	24.3%
4	Not important	227	19.1%
9	Crossed multiple answers	2	0.2%
Sysmiss		1724	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v07: There are no important local issues that I am very interested in

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1109 /-] [Invalid=1801 /-]
---------------------------	-----------------------------------

File : NSD0818

v07: There are no important local issues that I am very interested in

Pre-question	There might be many reasons for not voting in this election.
Literal question	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... B. There are no important local / municipal issues that I am very interested in
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	243	21.9%
2	Fairly important	343	30.9%
3	Less important	277	25.0%
4	Not important	246	22.2%
9	Crossed multiple answers	0	
Sysmiss		1801	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v08: I do not know enough about the various parties' policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1243 /-] [Invalid=1667 /-]
Pre-question	There might be many reasons for not voting in this election.
Literal question	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... C. I do not know enough about the various parties' policies
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	572	46.0%
2	Fairly important	350	28.2%
3	Less important	157	12.6%
4	Not important	161	13.0%
9	Crossed multiple answers	3	0.2%
Sysmiss		1667	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v09: I have no confidence in local/municipal politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1128 /-] [Invalid=1782 /-]
Pre-question	There might be many reasons for not voting in this election.
Literal question	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... D. I have no confidence in local/municipal politicians
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	327	29.0%
2	Fairly important	300	26.6%
3	Less important	250	22.2%
4	Not important	246	21.8%
9	Crossed multiple answers	5	0.4%

File : NSD0818

v09: I have no confidence in local/municipal politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1782	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v10: I did not have the time or opportunity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1133 /-] [Invalid=1777 /-]
Pre-question	There might be many reasons for not voting in this election.
Literal question	To which degree are the different reasons stated below important or not? ... E. I did not have the time or opportunity
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	329	29.0%
2	Fairly important	152	13.4%
3	Less important	193	17.0%
4	Not important	457	40.3%
9	Crossed multiple answers	2	0.2%
Sysmiss		1777	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v11: Television

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=597 /-] [Invalid=2313 /-]
Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... A. Television
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	597	100.0%
Sysmiss		2313	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v12: Other Norwegian media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=713 /-] [Invalid=2197 /-]
Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... B. Other Norwegian media (newspapers, radio)
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2		713	100.0%
Sysmiss		2197	

File : NSD0818**# v12: Other Norwegian media***Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v13: Immigrant media****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=211 /-] [Invalid=2699 /-]**Literal question**
Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote?
... C. Immigrant media (magazines, newspapers, local radio)**Post-question** Tick all the boxes that apply**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
3		211	100.0%
Sysmiss		2699	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v14: Family, friends or acquaintances****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-4] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=962 /-] [Invalid=1948 /-]**Literal question**
Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote?
... D. Family, friends or acquaintances**Post-question** Tick all the boxes that apply**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
4		962	100.0%
Sysmiss		1948	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v15: Immigrant organization(s)****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=102 /-] [Invalid=2808 /-]**Literal question**
Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote?
... E. Immigrant organization(s)**Post-question** Tick all the boxes that apply**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
5		102	100.0%
Sysmiss		2808	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v16: Directorate of immigration's election materials****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-6] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=2612 /-]

File : NSD0818**# v16: Directorate of immigration's election materials**

Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... F. Directorate of immigration's election materials		
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply		
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
6		298	100.0%
Sysmiss		2612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v17: The parties' election pamphlets

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=299 /-] [Invalid=2611 /-]		
Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... G. The parties' election pamphlets		
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply		
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
7		299	100.0%
Sysmiss		2611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v18: Government agency

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=302 /-] [Invalid=2608 /-]		
Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... H. Government agency (immigration office, refugee officer, local health clinic, library e.g.)		
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply		
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
8		302	100.0%
Sysmiss		2608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v19: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=526 /-] [Invalid=2384 /-]		
Literal question	Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote? ... I. Other		
Post-question	Tick all the boxes that apply		

File : NSD0818**# v19: Other****Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Yes	526	100.0%
Sysmiss		2384	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v20: Please specify****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=662 /-] [Invalid=2248 /-]**Pre-question** If "Other" is ticked**Literal question** Where did you learn that you were entitled to vote?
... J. Please specify**Post-question** Tick all the boxes that apply**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Information sources

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Has specified	662	100.0%
Sysmiss		2248	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v21: Signing a petition, signature campaign?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2536 /-] [Invalid=374 /-]**Pre-question** There are different ways to exercise one's influence.**Literal question** Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by:
... A. Signing a petition, signature campaign?**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political persuasion, Political participation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	607	23.9%
2	No	1929	76.1%
9	Answered both yes and no	0	
Sysmiss		374	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v22: Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2410 /-] [Invalid=500 /-]**Pre-question** There are different ways to exercise one's influence.**Literal question** Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by:
... B. Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?**Concepts** Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political persuasion, Political participation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	302	12.5%

File : NSD0818**# v22: Participating in a campaign, protest meeting, demonstration?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	2107	87.4%
9	Answered both yes and no	1	0.0%
Sysmiss		500	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v23: Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2368 /-] [Invalid=542 /-]
Pre-question	There are different ways to exercise one's influence.
Literal question	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... C. Raising an issue before a political party, trade union?
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political persuasion, Political participation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	210	8.9%
2	No	2156	91.0%
9	Answered both yes and no	2	0.1%
Sysmiss		542	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v24: Contacting municipal or county administration?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2388 /-] [Invalid=522 /-]
Pre-question	There are different ways to exercise one's influence.
Literal question	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... D. Contacting municipal or county administration?
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political persuasion, Political participation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	291	12.2%
2	No	2097	87.8%
9	Answered both yes and no	0	
Sysmiss		522	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v25: Contacting a local/municipal politician?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2415 /-] [Invalid=495 /-]
Pre-question	There are different ways to exercise one's influence.
Literal question	Did you at any time during the last four years try to influence the decision on an issue in the municipal or county council by: ... E. Contacting a local/municipal politician?
Concepts	Politics, Elections, Voting, Immigrants, Political persuasion, Political participation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	297	12.3%

File : NSD0818

v25: Contacting a local/municipal politician?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	2118	87.7%
9	Answered both yes and no	0	
Sysmiss		495	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v26: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Gender
Concepts	Gender

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Man	1261	43.3%
2	Woman	1649	56.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v27: Year of immigration

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Year of immigration
Concepts	Time, Immigration

v28: County of residence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	County of residence
Concepts	Place of residence

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Østfold	104	3.6%
2	Akershus	389	13.4%
3	Oslo	850	29.2%
4	Hedmark	74	2.5%
5	Oppland	67	2.3%
6	Buskerud	143	4.9%
7	Vestfold	118	4.1%
8	Telemark	66	2.3%
9	Aust-Agder	46	1.6%
10	Vest-Agder	101	3.5%
11	Rogaland	254	8.7%
12	Hordaland	252	8.7%
14	Sogn og Fjordane	39	1.3%
15	Møre og Romsdal	105	3.6%
16	Sør-Trøndelag	109	3.7%
17	Nord-Trøndelag	32	1.1%

File : NSD0818**# v28: County of residence**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Nordland	60	2.1%
19	Troms	62	2.1%
20	Finnmark	39	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v29: Person code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Person code
Concepts	Data

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reference person or elder partner	1688	58.0%
2	Spouse or youngest partner	1058	36.4%
3	Children	164	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v30: Marital Status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Marital Status
Concepts	Marital status

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmarried	663	22.8%
2	Married	1805	62.0%
3	Widow, widower	82	2.8%
4	Divorced	253	8.7%
5	Separated	100	3.4%
6	Registered partner	6	0.2%
7	Separated partner	0	
8	Divorved partner	1	0.0%
9	Surviving spouse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v31: Highest education as of 01.10.1998

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2861 /-] [Invalid=49 /-]
Literal question	Highest education as of 01.10.1998
Concepts	Education, Educational levels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No education	22	0.8%
1	Education at primary school level	18	0.6%
2	Education at secondary school level	166	5.8%
3	Education at high school level i	408	14.3%

File : NSD0818

v31: Highest education as of 01.10.1998

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Education at high school level ii	437	15.3%
5	Education at university and college level i	226	7.9%
6	Education at university and college level ii	194	6.8%
7	Education at university and college level iii	155	5.4%
8	Education at research level	37	1.3%
9	Missing values	1198	41.9%
Sysmiss		49	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v32: Age as of 31.12.99

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Age as of 31.12.99
Concepts	Age

v33: By age group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	By age group
Concepts	Age

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24 years of age	246	8.5%
2	25-34 years of age	828	28.5%
3	35-44 years of age	856	29.4%
4	45-54 years of age	529	18.2%
5	55-64 years of age	264	9.1%
6	65-74 years of age	140	4.8%
7	75-84 years of age	34	1.2%
8	85 years of age and older	13	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v34: Census data

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Census data
Concepts	Data

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	1500	51.5%
2	Have not voted	1410	48.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v35: County voted in

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=1410 /-]

File : NSD0818

v35: County voted in

Literal question	County voted in
Concepts	Data, Geographical data

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Østfold	48	3.2%
2	Akershus	209	13.9%
3	Oslo	472	31.5%
4	Hedmark	35	2.3%
5	Oppland	35	2.3%
6	Buskerud	81	5.4%
7	Vestfold	61	4.1%
8	Telemark	20	1.3%
9	Aust-Agder	29	1.9%
10	Vest-Agder	54	3.6%
11	Rogaland	110	7.3%
12	Hordaland	144	9.6%
14	Sogn og Fjordane	15	1.0%
15	Møre og Romsdal	44	2.9%
16	Sør-Trøndelag	51	3.4%
17	Nord-Trøndelag	16	1.1%
18	Nordland	27	1.8%
19	Troms	34	2.3%
20	Finnmark	15	1.0%
Sysmiss		1410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v36: Citizenship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-839] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Citizenship
Concepts	Citizenship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Denmark	218	7.5%
103	Finland	140	4.8%
105	Iceland	96	3.3%
106	Sweedeen	202	6.9%
111	Albania	1	0.0%
112	Belgium	11	0.4%
113	Bulgaria	2	0.1%
115	Estonia	2	0.1%
117	France	46	1.6%
119	Greece	7	0.2%
120	Belarus	2	0.1%
121	Ireland	10	0.3%
122	Croatia	2	0.1%

File : NSD0818

v36: Citizenship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
123	Italy	16	0.5%
124	Latvia	2	0.1%
125	Yugoslavia	65	2.2%
126	Malta	0	
127	Netherlands	86	3.0%
129	Luxembourg	0	
131	Poland	36	1.2%
132	Portugal	9	0.3%
133	Romania	7	0.2%
135	Soviet Union	3	0.1%
136	Lithuania	2	0.1%
137	Spain	21	0.7%
138	Moldova	0	
139	UK	144	4.9%
140	Russia	22	0.8%
141	Switzerland	31	1.1%
142	Czechoslovakia	1	0.0%
143	Turkey	48	1.6%
144	Germany	166	5.7%
148	Ukraine	2	0.1%
152	Hungary	3	0.1%
153	Austria	20	0.7%
155	Bosnia and Herzegovina	221	7.6%
156	Macedonia	0	
157	Slovakia	1	0.0%
158	Czech Republic	1	0.0%
203	Algeria	1	0.0%
205	Botswana	2	0.1%
216	Burundi	1	0.0%
239	Ivory Coast	2	0.1%
241	Eritrea	1	0.0%
246	Ethiopia	12	0.4%
249	Egypt	1	0.0%
256	Gambia	12	0.4%
260	Ghana	19	0.7%
264	Guinea	1	0.0%
266	Guinea-bissau	0	
270	Cameroon	0	
273	Cape verde	0	
276	Kenya	8	0.3%
279	Congo	0	
286	Libya	0	
289	Madagascar	1	0.0%

File : NSD0818

v36: Citizenship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
303	Morocco	18	0.6%
306	Mauritania	1	0.0%
307	Mauritius	0	
313	Nigeria	7	0.2%
319	Mozambique	1	0.0%
326	Zimbabwe	1	0.0%
329	Rwanda	1	0.0%
338	Seychelles	1	0.0%
339	Sierra leone	3	0.1%
346	Somalia	32	1.1%
356	Sudan	5	0.2%
359	South Africa	4	0.1%
369	Tanzania	8	0.3%
373	Chad	0	
379	Tunisia	1	0.0%
386	Uganda	2	0.1%
389	Zambia	2	0.1%
404	Afghanistan	5	0.2%
406	Armenia	0	
410	Bangladesh	3	0.1%
420	Myanmar	0	
424	Sri lanka	88	3.0%
428	Philippines	60	2.1%
432	Taiwan	1	0.0%
444	India	59	2.0%
448	Indonesia	5	0.2%
452	Iraq	46	1.6%
456	Iran	65	2.2%
460	Israel	8	0.3%
464	Japan	15	0.5%
476	Jordan	2	0.1%
478	Cambodia	0	
480	Kazakhstan	1	0.0%
484	China	36	1.2%
488	North Korea	1	0.0%
492	South Korea	3	0.1%
496	Kuwait	0	
500	Cyprus	3	0.1%
504	Laos	1	0.0%
508	Lebanon	7	0.2%
512	Malaysia	8	0.3%
513	Maldives	0	
528	Nepal	1	0.0%

File : NSD0818

v36: Citizenship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
534	Pakistan	160	5.5%
544	Saudi Arabia	0	
548	Singapore	7	0.2%
552	Turkmenistan	0	
564	Syria	3	0.1%
568	Thailand	70	2.4%
575	Vietnam	88	3.0%
578	Yemen	0	
602	Barbados	0	
612	Canada	33	1.1%
616	Costa rica	2	0.1%
620	Cuba	3	0.1%
622	Dominica	0	
624	The Dominican Republic	2	0.1%
629	Grenada	0	
632	Guatemala	1	0.0%
636	Haiti	1	0.0%
644	Honduras	0	
648	Jamaica	1	0.0%
652	Mexico	9	0.3%
664	Nicaragua	1	0.0%
668	Panama	1	0.0%
672	El salvador	1	0.0%
678	St. Lucia	0	
680	Trinidad and Tobago	1	0.0%
684	USA	157	5.4%
705	Argentina	6	0.2%
710	Bolivia	2	0.1%
715	Brazil	11	0.4%
720	Guyana	0	
725	Chile	87	3.0%
730	Colombia	4	0.1%
735	Ecuador	2	0.1%
755	Paraguay	0	
760	Peru	12	0.4%
770	Uruguay	3	0.1%
775	Venezuela	1	0.0%
805	Australia	18	0.6%
806	Solomon Islands	0	
820	New Zealand	11	0.4%
839	Palau	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : NSD0818

v37: Stratum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Stratum
Concepts	Data, Geographical data

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sweden	202	6.9%
2	Denmark	218	7.5%
3	UK	144	4.9%
4	Bosnia and Herzegovina	221	7.6%
5	USA	157	5.4%
6	Finland	140	4.8%
7	Europa (other), Canada	706	24.3%
8	Nationality from Africa,Asia,South-America,Oceania	1122	38.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v38: Stratum code, used in connection with weighting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Stratum code, used in connection with weighting
Concepts	Data

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Denmark	218	7.5%
103	Finland	140	4.8%
105	Iceland	96	3.3%
106	Sweden	202	6.9%
117	France	46	1.6%
125	Yugoslavia	65	2.2%
127	Netherlands	86	3.0%
131	Poland	36	1.2%
139	UK	144	4.9%
143	Turkey	48	1.6%
144	Germany	166	5.7%
155	Bosnia and Herzegovina	221	7.6%
303	Morocco	18	0.6%
346	Somalia	32	1.1%
424	Sri Lanka	88	3.0%
428	Philippines	60	2.1%
444	India	59	2.0%
452	Irak	46	1.6%
456	Iran	65	2.2%
484	China	36	1.2%
534	Pakistan	160	5.5%
568	Thailand	70	2.4%
575	Vietnam	88	3.0%

File : NSD0818

v38: Stratum code, used in connection with weighting

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
612	Canada	33	1.1%
684	USA	157	5.4%
995	Europe (other minus Turkey)	178	6.1%
996	Africa otherwise	98	3.4%
997	Asia (other)	74	2.5%
998	America (other)	151	5.2%
999	Oceania	29	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v39: Weight for variable S code p † net

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.427-3.633] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Weight for variable S code p † net
Concepts	Data

v40: Weight for variable S code p † net

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.667-5.5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Weight for variable S code p † net
Concepts	Data

v41: Weighting by voted / not voted

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0.855] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Weighting by voted / not voted
Concepts	Data

v42: Weighting by voted / not voted

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.754] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Weighting by voted / not voted
Concepts	Data

v43: Collected weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.585-8.224] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Collected weight
Concepts	Data